Appl. No. 10/057,630

Response dated November 5, 2010

Reply to Office Action mailed on September 28, 2010

II. REMARKS

The instant response is being filed in response to the Office communication mailed on

September 28, 2010, which stated that Applicants' reply filed on March 8, 2010 was non-

responsive.

Applicants submit that the reply filed on March 8, 2010 was fully response for the

reasons given below, and request that the Office communication mailed on September 28, 2010

be vacated.

On June 23, 2008, in the response to the election of species requirement, Applicants

elected pain from arthritis for examination in the instant application.

On March 8, 2010, Applicants filed a reply to the Office Action of February 4, 2009. In

the reply, Applicants amended claim 63 to recite "pain without inflammation" and added claims

71 and 73, each reciting in part "pain without inflammation." The reply filed on March 8, 2010,

recited on page 10 that "claims 38 and 47-76 read on the species elected in the response filed on

June 23, 2008."

In the Office communication mailed on September 28, 2010, the Examiner states that the

Applicants' reply filed on March 8, 2010 was not responsive, because it was not clear to the

Examiner "how new claims 71 and 73 (as well as amend[ed] claim 63)- each drawn to pain

without inflammation-reads on arthritis since arthritic pain arises from inflamed joints." Office

communication, page 3.

Applicants respectfully submit that (i) arthritis can be inflammatory and noninflamatory.

and (ii) that arthritic pain is not limited to "inflamed pain." For example, according to the

Textbook of Internal Medicine, third edition, part of the differential diagnosis of arthritis (i.e.,

monarticular arthritis) is determination of "whether the arthritis is inflammatory or

noninflamammatory." See Textbook of Internal Medicine, third edition, page 1091 (1997); see

7

Response dated November 5, 2010

Reply to Office Action mailed on September 28, 2010

also Fig. 170-1 on page 1092. A copy of pages 1091-1093 from the Textbook of Internal

Medicine is attached to the instant response as Appendix A.

Applicants therefore submit that as recited in the reply filed on March 8, 2010, amended

claim 63 and new claims 71 and 73 read on the elected species. See page 10 ("claims 38 and 47-

· 76 read on the species elected in the response filed on June 23, 2008.").

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the reply filed on March 8, 2010, was fully

responsive, and respectfully request that the Office communication mailed on September 28,

2010, be vacated.

Applicants further request that the arguments and amendments presented in the reply

filed on March 8, 2010, be considered by the Examiner.

Applicants respectfully state that claims 38 and 47-76 read on the species elected in the

response filed on June 23, 2008.

III. CONCLUSION

An early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below in the

event that a telephonic interview will advance the prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted.

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

Oleg Ioselevich

Reg. No. 56,963

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

Patents, Trademarks and Copyrights

485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10018

(212) 736-1940

8